

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,979	08/04/2003	Noah Michael Grayson	2988 EXAMINER	
759	90 09/08/2004			
Noah Michael Grayson			LEGESSE, NINI F	
28 Wilton Crest Wilton, CT 06897			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, 01			3711	
		DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`.		\mathcal{A}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,979	GRAYSON, NOAH MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit /				
	Nini F. Legesse	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply		ONTHO SPOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin idod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
*	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applic	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	—	OTO 440				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objection

Claim 1 is objected because the expression "(see DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART for explanation of game and relation of invention to game)" in lines 4-5 do not provide clear structure to the claim. Applicant is required to delete this expression. In addition, the term "type" in line 6 and the expression "said holder is unique" in line 13 do not provide clear structure in the claim.

Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The expression in brackets that states "with the exception of the rubber or plastic or similar grips as described in claim 1" is improper because it does not further limit dependent claim 1. Please also note that the expression "plastic or similar" in lines 1-2 is not disclosed in the independent claim as Applicant indicates. And the term "maybe" in line 2 and the phrase "similar material" do not clearly define the claim structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,979

Art Unit: 3711

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider (US Patent No. 6,131,732) in view of Juergens et al. (US Patent No. 4,074,810).

Schneider discloses a drink tray with spaced holes (item 1 are cup cavities) with the holes being equidistant and they are cut to uniform size (see Fig. 1). The bottom of the holder is a smooth undisturbed surface (see Figs. 3a, 4a, 5a). Schneider discloses the invention as recited above including that the device could be a triangular shape and that it could include any number or arrangements for the cup cavities (see column 2, lines 57-63) but he fails to explicitly indicate the claimed configuration of the cup hole arrangements and he fails to include rubber grips at the bottom of the device. With respect to the claimed arrangements of the cup cavities, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a triangular shaped device as taught by Schneider with the claimed arrangements of the cup cavities in order to optimize the device to hold as many cup cavities as possible. And with respect to the presence of the rubber grips, Juergens is one reference that teaches that the use of rubber grips is not new (item 34, 34'). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide rubber feet to the tray device of Schneider as taught by Juergens for resting the device on a supporting surface as stated in column 2, lines 47-49.

With respect to claim 2, Applicant has eliminated the rubber grips from this claim. Therefore the invention of Schneider meets most of the claim limitations since his

Art Unit: 3711

invention provides a drink tray with spaced holes (item 1 are cup cavities) with the holes being equidistant and they are cut to uniform size (see Fig. 1). The bottom of the holder is a smooth undisturbed surface (see Figs. 3a, 4a, 5a). And since Applicant has indicated in line 3 of this claim that the holder could be made of "similar" material, the foam material of Schneider's meets this limitation. Schneider discloses the invention as recited above including that the device could be any shape including triangular shape and that it could include any number or arrangements for the cup cavities (see column 2, lines 57-63) but he fails to explicitly indicate the claimed configuration of the cup hole arrangements. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a triangular shaped device as taught by Schneider with the claimed arrangements of the cup cavities in order to optimize the device to hold as many cup cavities as possible.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (703) 605-1233. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vidovich Greg can be reached on (703) 308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/632,979

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

m F. Legesse
Nini F. Legesse

09/03/04